

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/749,171 | 12/30/2003 | Zbigniew Tokarski | 3216.35US02 | 7873 |
| 24113 75 | 90 02/08/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A. | | | RODEE, CHRISTOPHER D | |
| 4800 IDS CENTER 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1756 | |

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comment | 10/749,171 | TOKARSKI ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Christopher RoDee | 1756 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet wit | h the correspondence add | dress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA | ATION. ply be timely filed I'HS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 D | ecember 2005. | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-17,19-25 and 27</u> is/are re | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-17,19-25 and 27</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,11,18 and 26</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc | epted or b)□ objected to b | y the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyand | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | , =, | • | * * | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attached | Office Action or form PT | O-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | . , | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document | | onlication No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | · | | Stane | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | • | TOOON OF THE WILL THE CONTRACT | Clago | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) X Interview Si | ummary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s |)/Mail Date. <u>2/1/06</u> . | 450 | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) L Notice of In 6) Dther: | formal Patent Application (PTC |) - 152) | | | |
| S Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/749,171

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-17, 19-25, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims were rejected in the last Office action because the phrase "part of a cyclic ring" was indefinite. This language is also present in new claim 27. The Examiner has carefully considered applicant's traversal and has reviewed the specification in detail. Applicants traverse the rejection because one of skill in the art would understand that part of a cyclic ring refers to an atom or group that is bonded to other atoms or groups in a ring system. A carbon atom in a benzyl group is part of a cyclic ring.

The Examiner must maintain the rejection as presented because the claims do not define any ring as being present. Each of R_{11} and R_{12} attaches to a non-cyclic nitrogen atom. There is no ring present that R_{11} and R_{12} can attach to or be part of. The claims remain indefinite. The Examiner has carefully reviewed the specification to see if there is any guidance that would aid in rendering the claim definite. Regrettably, the Examiner can find no further discussion of the meaning of this indefinite phrase or examples that show where R_{11} and/or R_{12} are part of a ring.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,171

Art Unit: 1756

Claim 27 is also indefinite as presented because it is unclear if the linking group Z is asymmetric or if the moieties p and p are different so that

$$R_3$$
 R_4 and R_7 R_8 are different R_7 R_8 R_8

the charge transport material is asymmetrical. Applicant's remarks in the recent interview are noted but the claims themselves must be definite.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 11, 18, and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Should these claims be rewritten in independent form the general formula should be removed and only the specific formula of these claims be presented.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 1756

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher RoDee whose telephone number is 571-272-1388. The examiner can normally be reached on most weekdays from 6:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cdr

2 February 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER